

Unusual Tracks Part Two

Hello Linda,

Thanks for your response, here is an update and I have a few questions for you, your opinion would be appreciated. I must admit that I am getting intrigued by these tracks and wondering if I have been misinterpreting some of my collected data over the years. I'll stop bugging you soon, unless I have to invest in silver bullets or something.

Best regards,

Trent

February 12, 2020



I ventured back into the area in the late afternoon of the twelfth, it was about to rain for the next twenty-four hours. I wanted to check out another ridge top that is another older pine grove, has a softer soil layer, and is a good spot to watch over the area from a covered position.



I walked in and checked out the area of the first set of tracks, nothing new there and continued to the next ridge, it was raining by this point, earlier than expected I might add. The ground on top of the ridge



in the pines was quite disturbed, somewhat like turkey scratches but deeper with no indication of what made them. I did find some partial canid looking tracks of the same size and shape as the previous ones as well as two that were relatively good. There was no discernable track-way, looked as if the critter was cavorting about in a random way, (possibly chasing something(?)) I also found some unknown predator scat in the area of the tracks (more than likely fisher). The scat had what appeared to be small mammal fur in it.

Local Folklore

So as my curiosity level is up, I started looking into local folklore and

legends, this part of Maryland has been a hotbed for appearances of dogman type creatures since at least early colonial times until fairly recently. The early German settlers called it a “hexenwolf” (later called a “dwayo” for no reason anyone knows of) and felt the need to protect their livestock from it. I will be looking into this more, plus I do know an older Native American to talk to about pre-contact folklore, of which I could find no reference to in my quick look into local stories.

Questions

You had mentioned stick structures in your email to me and that got me thinking that I may possibly have misinterpreted some of the data I have collected over the years. There is, at least to me, an undeniable Sasquatch presence in the area, so often I assume something is Sasquatch related without corroborating evidence such as in this case, no tracks or body impressions on the ground. (I have a background in science so I should know better.)

First question

This thing (found in 2014) is a structure made form sticks and was in another area of pines close to the above mentioned area. There was no way to approach this thing easily, there was a sort of serpentine path to get to the opening. From the inside of the structure it was possible to see in all directions for a good distance and if you were to lay down in it you would be completely concealed from



view. There were no tracks at all in or around it and the structure seemed a bit too small for a seven foot Sasquatch. A few weeks after I found this structure it was completely dismantled, and the parts were scattered about intentionally. My question is; is this similar to other dogman style structures?

Second Question

This was a still photograph that was one of a series taken in an arc around

my position when I felt that I was being watched (I often do this, sometimes with good results). I did not see this little guy at all and had no idea that something was there, it also does not look like he was the one watching me. I have always assumed that this was a young Sasquatch but the snout has always bothered me. The Natives say that young Sasquatches often have a more “doglike” face, but I really just don’t know. This fellow could not have been more than three and a half feet tall, as when I went back a few days later to check out the spot that is about the tallest critter that would fit in the space. Your thoughts would be appreciated.



Original photograph



Photograph cropped, sorry about the quality.